

## Reasons for increasing autonomous accessibility

A special feature of the Autonomous accessibility remedy (AUTTOE) is it is purely focusing on regulating fixed and financial *assets*<sup>1</sup> of local actors, and not on regulating income, inputs, or outputs. This avoids a great deal of complicated administrative effort. Yes, that's convenient, but that's not why assets are the vital steering wheel in such an economic structural setup.

The **main reasoning** behind the focus at regulating *possessions* becomes comprehensible when we restate the global warming problem in short as follows: The earth is going to a boiling-point as a result of increasingly large-scale and energy-intensive processes scattered worldwide and more and more fossil fuel based movements. How do we bring peace in this tent? How to soothe that overheating hive?

The **main reasoning** then continues with:

- i. What stops people from stabilizing their position and to unwind<sup>2</sup>? What proactive activity – I mean forward-looking decision-making – and the fear that goes with it pushes us continually to research new expansion opportunities, or possibilities to displace our neighbors? Without a doubt, *that throughout their lives everyone remains insecure about his position and possessions acquired so far*. There are assailants everywhere, and everything can go wrong. This unrest about the possible collapse (or loss) of assets and societal positions forms the basis of everyone's competitive attitude and expansion drive. Attacking remains the best defense. Constantly searching for cover, reserves, buffers...
- ii. So what should be the focus on when the quietening of the global interaction processes **requires** that the (globally) dependent consumer (with a lot of inputs and outputs) should want and be able to transform herself/himself into a local fairly self-sufficient producer<sup>3</sup> of her/his basic necessities of life<sup>4</sup>?
- iii. Well, then her/his uncertainty about having the means to keep herself/himself alive must first be melted away. This can only be done by increasing her/his autonomous access to resources. For example by allocating her/him a rather **shielded** place. A place in which one is less dependent on long distance processes. In such a less dependent position almost all raw materials, products and services circulate within the domain. The role of income becomes minimal because trade has no volume.
- iv. But if we want this person to run this place in a quiet, non-competitive way, we must not only guarantee her or him a fair place<sup>5</sup>, but also prevent her or him from trying to acquire or intrude other places. And so those places should not be tradable, not be accumulable, and not be object of donation or legacy.

- 
- 1 i.e. the rules that provide how mobile and non-mobile reserves may be obtained, acquired, accumulated, distributed, and shared.
  - 2 Especially in terms of energy use.
  - 3 Only in such a line-up we can curb global movements and flows and still remain alive.
  - 4 The term 'self-sufficiency' sounds pretty closed. But in our context there is no need to exaggerate it. If the global flows are reduced by 80 %, there will be enough local emissions space left for to keep up regionally standardised production of essential tools, machinery, transport and communication equipment, and basic care and education services.
  - 5 The place must therefore be both sufficient and equitable. And yes of course, everyone knows it secretly and many abstract thinkers (Aristotle, Locke, Buffon, Rousseau, Mirabeau, Condorcet, Simmel, Rawls, Dworkin, Sen, etc.) have confirmed it, only **equality** is perceived as just, the rest means war. Only equality does justice to the fundamental fact that no human being can at birth claim a greater part of the earth, for whatever reason, than any other.

In short: increasing people's autonomous accessibility to local resources as socio-economic structural measure to manage climate change, is safe<sup>6</sup>, requires little control<sup>7</sup>, is efficient in terms of prioritisation<sup>8</sup>, is locally applicable, and can be implemented with available and proven technology.

---

6 In terms of achieving food and temperature safety.

7 Because due to reduced flows and connections between global scattered processes, there are far fewer coordination problems.

8 About what products and services are essential for surviving, and what can be deleted.